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# Introduction

The Regional Technical Forum (RTF) is an advisory committee to the Northwest Power and Conservation Council (Council). The RTF meets monthly to review analysis and make decisions on methodologies for estimating energy efficiency savings and demand response impacts. The RTF is supported by Council staff and outside contractors that manage the workflow and conduct technical analysis. This document describes the RTF’s role, funding, operations and staffing, and planned activities for the 2020-2024 period. It has been updated to reflect refinement in the business plan based on the work completed in 2020-2023 and the anticipated needs for 2024.

# Role of the RTF

The RTF was formed in 1999 as an advisory committee to the Council in response to a directive from Congress (1996) and the 1996 Comprehensive Review of the Northwest Energy System. The primary roles of the RTF have been, and continue to be:

* Developing and maintaining a readily accessible list of eligible conservation resources, the estimated lifetime costs and savings associated with those resources, and the estimated regional power system value associated with those savings;
* Establishing a process for updating the list of eligible conservation resources as technology and standard practices change, and an appeals process through which utilities, trade allies, and customers can demonstrate that different savings and value estimates should apply;
* Developing a set of protocols by which the savings and system value of conservation resources should be estimated with a process for applying the protocols to existing or new measures;
* Assisting the Council in assessing: 1) the current performance, costs and availably of new conservation technologies and measures; 2) technology development trends; and 3) the effect of these trends on the future performance, cost and availability of new conservation resources;
* Tracking regional progress toward the achievement of the region’s conservation targets by collecting and reporting on regional research findings and energy savings annually.

For the 2020-2024 funding cycle, the RTF will expand upon its core mission to include:

* Developing and maintaining a list of natural gas and dual fuel energy efficiency resources, including methodologies for estimating lifetime energy savings and costs associated with those resources, and a process for updating those estimates as technology and standard practices change
* Conducting technical analysis on technologies that provide both energy efficiency and demand response potential to assist the Council in assessing the technical potential of the technologies

# Funding

The RTF is funded by Bonneville, the Energy Trust of Oregon, investor-owned utilities, and large generating public utilities in the region. The RTF Policy Advisory Committee (RTF PAC) established funding levels for 2020-2024 based on the planned activities described below in more detail. The proposed funding level for the five-year period is $9,461,300, starting out at $1.8 million in 2020 and increasing annually at 2.5% to account for inflation. The five-year funding period provides a level of consistency to ensure long-term goals of the RTF are sufficiently supported, while providing flexibility to meet regional needs on an annual basis.

The RTF PAC agreed to use the allocation method developed by the Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (NEEA) for funding. The RTF PAC further agreed to the following methodology for sharing costs across the electric and gas utility funds:

* Electric ratepayer dollars are allocated to work that is intended to solely support electric demand side management programs (ex: electric-only energy efficiency measures and demand response)
* Gas ratepayer dollars are allocated to work that is intended to solely support natural gas programs (ex: gas-only efficiency measures)
* Costs will be shared for work that is intended to support all ratepayers (ex: dual fuel measures, tool development, and overhead) with 75 percent allocated to electric ratepayer dollars and 25 percent to gas ratepayer dollars

The resulting funding shares are as follows:

Table 1: Funding Shares and Five-Year Contribution

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Organization** | **Proposed Funding Share** | **Total 5-Year Contribution** |
| Bonneville Power Administration | 30.03% | $2,841,100  |
| Energy Trust of Oregon | 22.54% | $2,132,800  |
| Puget Sound Energy | 18.99% | $1,796,500  |
| Idaho Power Company | 7.54% | $713,300  |
| Avista Corporation, Inc | 6.78% | $641,400  |
| PacifiCorp (Washington) | 2.08% | $197,200  |
| PacifiCorp (Idaho) | 1.78% | $168,200  |
| NorthWestern Energy\* | 1.70% | $161,000  |
| Seattle City Light | 2.86% | $270,800  |
| PUD No 1 of Clark County | 1.02% | $96,800  |
| Tacoma Power | 0.77% | $73,200  |
| Snohomish County PUD | 0.54% | $51,400  |
| Eugene Water & Electric Board | 0.17% | $16,500  |
| Chelan County | 0.81% | $76,700  |
| PUD No 1 of Cowlitz County | 0.15% | $14,500  |
| Cascade Natural Gas | 1.66% | $157,000  |
| NW Natural | 0.56% | $52,900  |
| **Total** | **100.00%** | **$9,461,300**  |

\*NorthWestern Energy share adjusted to 52% of NEEA allocation share.

The RTF PAC agreed to manage the funding as a five-year budget, by applying any unspent and unallocated funds from previous years to later years. At the end of the five-year period, any unspent funds will be credited back to the funders.

In addition to the agreed to funding for this work plan cycle, the RTF PAC considered how best to apply funds that were not spent or returned from the early years of the RTF prior to the implementation of formal funding agreements. The carryover funding from previous cycles totals $173,504.95. The RTF PAC agreed to apply these funds to additional work in this 2020-2024 Business Plan cycle for a total five-year budget of $9,629,032.

## Operations and Staffing

The RTF is an advisory committee consisting of 20-30 voluntary members. The Council appoints the membership to ensure a fair balance in technical expertise for successful completion of the work plan. The RTF as a body meets approximately once a month for a full-day meeting at the Council’s main office in Portland, OR.

To reduce the burden placed on the voluntary members, the RTF budget supports funding for one full-time manager and contracted technical support. The RTF Manager is a Council employee whose responsibility is to oversee day-to-day operation of the RTF. This includes developing and managing work plans, managing contracts, developing quarterly and annual reports, and interfacing with the Council. Approximately 6 percent of the RTF budget goes to this function in 2024.

The largest portion of the budget (around 59 percent in 2024) supports a team of dedicated contract analysts that conduct the bulk of technical analysis on behalf of the RTF. The RTF transitioned to this team approach from one-off contracts as a way of ensuring greater consistency in analysis across work products and providing flexibility in workflow for achieving annual work plan goals. The 2024 funding levels are sufficient to support up to seven contract analysts.

The remaining 35 percent of the budget is set aside for specific contracts in support of work plan goals. This work generally falls into one of the following categories: 1) contracting with a firm to act as a third party for quality control review, 2) supporting members attendance at meetings, and 3) expanding the technical capabilities of the team for specific projects or tool development.

## Council Contribution

In addition to the funding described above, the Council contributes staff time and office and meeting space to the RTF. From a staffing perspective, the Council contributes staff to operation, coordination, technical analysis, contracting and legal assistance, and other administrative tasks. These staff contributions are estimated in the table below. The exact estimates will be updated annually to reflect the previous year rollover of funds, application of carryover from previous cycles, and any shifts across categories.

Table 2: Annual Funding Levels

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | **2020** | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** | **2024** |
| Contract RFP | $433,000  | $431,400  | $412,900  | $440,400  | $436,000  |
| Contract Analyst Team | $1,193,000  | $1,235,200  | $1,295,400  | $1,310,600  | $1,358,700  |
| RTF Manager | $174,000  | $178,400  | $182,800  | $187,400  | $192,100  |
| **Annual Funding**  | **$1,800,000**  | **$1,845,000**  | **$1,891,100**  | **$1,938,400**  | **$1,986,800**  |
| Council Staff Contribution | $185,600  | $190,300  | $195,000  | $199,900  | $204,900  |

# Activities and Budget

The specific tasks contained in this business plan are driven by existing measure work, anticipated growth for new measure requests, and expectations for future analysis tied to regional research or planning efforts. The specific work in any calendar year is largely driven by the existing measure needs and any requests received from parties within the region, primarily utilities, Bonneville, the Energy Trust of Oregon, NEEA, and Council staff. The RTF solicits topics from stakeholders through an annual request as part of the work planning and through an online form for proposing new measures. Each year, the RTF typically adjusts the allocation of resources among the categories in its work plan based on requests received, proposals, and the pace of multi-year projects. The RTF notifies the Council and its funders of all significant reallocation of resources or priorities. Table 3 provides an overview of the anticipated allocation of work for the 2020-2024 business plan cycle, and Table 4 provides a detailed breakdown of activities for 2024. Annual changes in Table 3 budgets represent anticipated shifts in work between measure analysis and other analytical support through tools and regional coordination. More details on those shifts are provided below.

Table 3: Strategic Plan Funding, by high level category, excluding Council contribution

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Subtotal Funders** | **2020** | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** | **2024** |
| Measure Analysis | $971,000  | $916,300  | $883,500  | $928,400  | $1,029,900  |
| Tools and Regional Coordination | $275,000  | $360,800  | $425,600  | $413,500  | $345,400  |
| Demand Response | $50,000  | $51,200  | $52,500  | $53,800  | $55,200  |
| RTF Management/Administration | $504,000  | $516,700  | $529,500  | $542,700  | $556,300  |
| **Total** | **$1,800,000**  | **$1,845,000**  | **$1,891,100**  | **$1,938,400**  | **$1,986,800**  |

Table 4: Proposed 2024 Budget Levels

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Contract RFP** | **Contract Analyst Team and Manager** | **Total Funder Contribution** | **Council Contribution** | **% of total** |
| Existing Measure Maintenance | $285,700 | $466,000 | $751,700 | $24,640 | 29% |
| New Measure Development | $83,657 | $386,900 | $470,557 | $6,930 | 18% |
| Standardization of Technical Analysis | $45,000 | $180,000 | $225,000 | $0  | 9% |
| Tool Development | $50,000 | $71,000 | $121,000 | $3,000 | 5% |
| Regional Coordination | $240,000 | $210,830 | $450,830 | $21,000 | 18% |
| Demand Response | $0 | $55,000 | $55,000 | $10,000 | 2% |
| Regional Conservation Progress | $61,200 | $0  | $61,200 | $45,000  | 2% |
| RTF Meeting Support | $136,400 | $133,000 | $269,400 | $10,000  | 11% |
| RTF Management | $7,000 | $153,000 | $160,000 | $66,500  | 6% |
| **Total** | **$908,957** | **$1,655,730** | **$2,564,687** | **$187,070** | **100%** |

As of September 13, 2023, staff estimates around $483,744 of unspent/unallocated funds from 2020-2023. Additionally, $94,143 of carryover funds (all electric) from early years of the RTF remain unspent. The primary drivers for unspent funds are:

* Reduction in meeting costs in 2020-2022 due to Covid-19 and reduced in person meetings in 2023
* Reduction in contract analyst team workflow in 2020 as the team adjusted to Covid-19 impacts
* One contract analyst leaving the RTF mid-year in 2021, resulting in roughly 45 percent of the contract unspent
* Decision not to pursue project on savings shape development in 2021 ($100k), as it relies heavily on the regional end use load metering and would benefit from a longer metering period to better account for post-Covid-19 “normal”
* Actual contract cost of one project in 2023 was substantially less than anticipated
* Decision to delay of multiple projects to allow additional time to identify appropriate next steps, including the RTF Guidelines update, Standard Information Workbook update, and additional valuation studies
* Reduction in management costs due to changes in RTF manager

Included in Table 4, is an increase to the original agreed to amount. This reflects the application of $577,887 in rollover and carryover funds to work planned for 2024. The additional funds are specifically tagged for contract RFP work and identified in more detail below.

## Measure Analysis

Approximately 50 percent of the five-year budget is anticipated to directly support measure analysis. This includes maintenance of the existing measure library, the addition of new measures, and activities associated with ensuring consistency in analysis approach across the entire measure suite.

### Existing Measure Maintenance

One half of the measure analysis work is focused on the maintenance of existing measures. The pace of existing measure review and update is driven by the sunset dates of measures. The RTF assigns sunset dates that range from one to five years based on the specific circumstances of a measure. For example, the RTF typically applies shorter sunset dates for measures in markets that are changing rapidly to keep pace with that change, whereas it applies longer sunset dates to more stable markets and measures. Other factors that will impact sunset dates are anticipated updates to Federal or state codes and standards, updates to ENERGY STAR® specifications, or anticipation of new data. The number of anticipated measures sunsetting or otherwise requiring review in any given year of the funding cycle ranges between 16 and 26 measures. This assumption is in line with the 2015 to 2018 funding cycle, during which time the number of existing measures considered in any year ranged from 15 to 30.

The 2024 work plan assumes updates to 32 of its existing measures. This is driven by the sunset dates of 19 electric measures, 11 dual fuel measures, and 2 gas measures. The work includes measure review and update by the contract analyst team and quality control/quality assurance review by an outside contractor.

### New Measure Development

The RTF is continually seeking ways to provide value to the region’s utilities. As efficiency programs are successful in transforming markets, emerging technologies are going to be important for meeting future efficiency goals. To support this need, the RTF is allocating approximately 15 percent of its five-year budget to assessing new measure opportunities. The estimate of new measure work varies each year, with the anticipation of between six and nine new measures annually. The exact number of measures in any given year is highly uncertain, as it is driven primarily by utilities’ needs. For reference, the RTF developed between two and nine new measures in any given year of the 2015 to 2019 funding cycle.

The 2024 work plan assumes development of eight new measures. There are several potential new measures in the RTF queue, and prioritizing funding on development of these measures will help to expand the RTF library in support of regional efficiency program needs. This assumes seven new electric and one new gas measures. This also assumes that the RTF will continue to focus some effort on providing guidance for reliable savings estimation of complex programs. The RTF is proposing to allocating approximately $162,000 in rollover funds to develop additional electric measures and perform a measure scan to identify new opportunities.

### Standardization of Technical Analysis

The RTF has made attempts over the last several years to improve the consistency of its analysis across measures. Key to this was the development of Operative Guidelines and the establishment of a dedicated contract analyst team to perform the majority of the technical analysis. As part of the 2020 to 2024 funding cycle, the RTF is allocating approximately 15 percent of its five-year budget to ensuring thorough and consistent analysis across all its categories.

The largest portion of this work is to support coordination and review across the contract analyst team. This work primarily takes place in the weekly contract analyst team meeting, during which the team reviews each other’s analysis, develops recommendations to the RTF for consideration, and explores new analytical techniques.

Another piece of this work is the maintenance of the RTF Operative Guidelines and its Standard Information Workbook. The RTF will be working to update the Guidelines with a specific focus on adding some consideration to addressing equity as part of evaluation. In addition, the Standard Information Workbook will be updated, particularly the costs and other parameters. Both of these updates will be completed by the end of 2024.

### Support of Small and Rural Utilities

The RTF allocates a small portion of its new measure development ($40,000 annually, plus inflation) to support the needs of region’s small and rural utilities. For 2024, this effort will be fully supported through one contract analyst’s time. The work includes supporting a standing subcommittee that discusses the applicability of existing RTF measures to small and rural utilities and explores potential refinements to measures to better meet their specific needs. This work also includes the development of new measures of specific interest to small and rural utilities that might not otherwise get developed for the RTF.

## Tool Development

The RTF maintains a handful of tools to support measure development, including its cost-effectiveness tool (ProCost) and building simulation models to estimate energy savings. For the 2020 to 2024 funding cycle, the RTF is allocating approximately 7 percent of its five-year budget to this function. The annual funding level varies, as much of the work is tied to other regional efforts. Additionally, the RTF will spend more time on tool development when there are fewer measures requiring update or development.

### ProCost

The RTF uses and maintains the Council’s cost-effectiveness tool. Given this, the ProCost development work is closely tied to the Council’s regional planning cycles. In 2021 and 2022, the RTF pursued significant updates to ProCost that streamlined code, increased transparency, and improved functionality. The timing was to align with the Council’s 2021 Power Plan. With these updates complete, the RTF assumes only minimal support in 2024.

### Building Simulation Models

The RTF uses building simulation models for estimating energy savings in residential and commercial buildings. Currently, the RTF uses SEEM[[1]](#footnote-1) for modeling residential single family, manufactured homes, and low-rise multifamily buildings and uses EnergyPlus[[2]](#footnote-2) to model commercial buildings. Much of the efforts in 2020 through 2024 are focused on ensuring that these models are well calibrated to the region’s building stock.

In 2020-2022, the RTF focused on enhancing its commercial EnergyPlus models, leveraging the latest NEEA Commercial Building Stock Assessment for calibration and enabling more robust modeling of building energy. The RTF started using these models for measure analysis in 2021 and plans to continue this work going forward. In 2024, the RTF expects that some potential improvements or support will be needed based on savings shape development work to be completed in 2023 and the majority of time in these models will be supporting new measure analysis.

In 2020 and 2021, the explored alternative modeling tools or enhancements to improve its residential model for energy efficiency and demand response analysis. The outcome was a recommendation to pursue EnergyPlus for at least a portion of the residential work. A primary driver for this recommendation was the capabilities for enhanced demand response modeling and better interactive modeling between energy efficiency and demand response opportunities. In 2022, the RTF started to develop a front end that will best meet its needs into the future. This new front-end tool was launched and calibration started in 2023. In 2024, the RTF anticipates continued refinement of the tool for specific measures, including water heating enhancements, incorporating heat pump performance curves, and support of demand response modeling. As a portion of this work will be for enhancements focused for electric measures the RTF is proposing to apply $50,000 of rollover funds.

## Regional Coordination

The RTF does not have funding for the primary research required to inform its savings analysis. Rather, the RTF relies on Bonneville, NEEA, the Energy Trust, the region’s utilities, and others to conduct this primary research. The RTF has allocated approximately 9 percent of its five-year budget to coordinating with those regional entities to help inform research, identify opportunities to leverage that research for RTF analysis, and connect RTF analysis to regional efforts. As with its tool development efforts, the annual workflow varies to better coordinate with regional efforts, while also providing a balance in the RTF workload when there are fewer measures requiring updates or development.

### Research Coordination

The RTF’s contract analysts are expected to coordinate with regional entities to help inform regional research. This includes working with specific utilities on defining upcoming research needs that might support RTF measure development and discussing the outcomes of the research to inform measure analysis. As directed by interested research funders, the contract analysts can support coordination of joint research projects funded by utilities in support of RTF analysis.

The RTF also allocates a portion of contract analyst time to help inform regional studies, such as the NEEA stock assessments. The RTF supports contract analyst time for engagement in the Residential Building Stock Assessment work group, which provides guidance throughout the design and implementation of the study.

### Market Analysis Review

The RTF, Council, and efficiency programs rely on market intelligence to inform baselines and program design. Over the last several years, Bonneville and NEEA have dedicated more resources to studying markets. During the 2020 through 2024 business cycle, the RTF will allocate resources to engagement in this research. The goal of this effort is to understand available data, provide recommendations on data analysis, weigh in on uncertainty around market factors, and support estimation of total market consumption.

### Savings Shape Development

Over the last few years, the region has increased its focus on understanding when energy efficiency measures save energy to inform how energy efficiency can provide capacity benefits. The RTF reviewed its existing load profiles to understand the relative quality of profiles and where better data are needed to improve our understanding of the timing of savings. The region has also launched residential and commercial end use metering studies to collect more data on energy use. In this business plan, the RTF has allocated resources to using the results of the end use metering studies (and other data sources as available) to develop end use load profiles and measure savings shapes. The bulk of this work is anticipated to occur in the latter half of the funding cycle, as the data come in and in preparation for the Council’s ninth power plan.

The RTF delayed the work planned for 2023 to continue to enhance its savings shape library and will be leveraging these unallocated funds in 2024. This work will leverage the RTF’s EnergyPlus models, the regional end use load research, and other data.

### Council Plan and Other Regional Support

Being an advisory committee to the Council, one of the roles of the RTF is to provide technical support and analysis on energy efficiency measures. Most of this work is directly tied to the Council’s power planning efforts. The Council’s 2021 Power Plan was finalized in early 2022. The RTF anticipates allocating some time to support Council work in 2024 as Council staff prepare for the midterm of the 2021 Power Plan.

In addition to supporting power planning analysis, the RTF has often been called upon to conduct technical studies on energy efficiency. For the 2020 to 2024 funding cycle, the RTF has allocated funding to support such a study. The 2021 Power Plan directed RTF to support the valuation of resiliency and flexibility, which will be used in future planning analysis. This work started in 2022. The RTF anticipates continuing this work, specifically focused on the energy efficiency and demand response interaction for flexibility, in 2024, and allocating the carryover funding and some of the rollover funding of approximately $120,000 to an additional valuation study. Additionally, the RTF anticipates focusing on advancing the resiliency valuation work in 2024, specifically incorporating Council feedback into the Resilience Valuation Tool and determining how to present resilience values for RTF measures. An additional $30,730 in rollover funds has been allocated to support the regional research coordination and Council Plan support as the 9th Power Plan development will begin in 2024.

## Demand Response

The RTF has allocated 3 percent of its budget annually to support technical analysis on demand response technologies. The RTF will specifically look at technologies that provide both energy efficiency and demand response opportunities, as a way of leveraging the RTF’s existing knowledge and thinking about these opportunities holistically. The RTF analysis will focus on technical considerations of the technologies, estimating the technical, per unit demand impact potential for technologies, absent any specific product design considerations. The purpose of this work is to be an input, of many, into Council and utility demand response supply curves.

The work in the 2020 to 2024 funding cycle builds upon the RTF’s scoping effort in 2019. In 2020 through 2022, the focus of the work is on enhancing the RTF’s analytical capabilities, including exploring enhancements to existing building simulation models or alternative modeling approaches. As described above, the work in 2024 focuses on continuing to update the RTF’s demand response analysis leveraging the residential EnergyPlus work.

## RTF Management

The final 28 percent of the budget is allocated to management of the RTF, including support for RTF meetings and the RTF Manager. This also includes management of the Council’s Regional Conservation Progress survey.

### Regional Conservation Progress Report

Per its charter, one of the roles of the RTF is to track the region’s progress against the Council’s power plan targets for energy efficiency. This is done through the annual Regional Conservation Progress (RCP) survey and report. Every year, the RTF collects data from Bonneville, Energy Trust, NEEA, and the region’s utilities on the energy efficiency savings and expenditures from the previous year. The 2020 to 2024 funding cycle allocates $50,000 annually, plus inflation, to contract out the data collection and analysis. This budget assumes that the RTF Manager, in coordination with other Council staff, will be responsible for compiling the results into a final report for the Council.

### Meeting and Member Support

The RTF meets approximately monthly for a one-day meeting. It is at these meetings where the formative work of the RTF occurs. Given the importance of these meetings, the RTF allocates approximately 15 percent of its budget to supporting this function. The most significant portion of this budget is ensuring that all the members and contract analysts are able to attend and participate in the monthly meetings in person. As noted above, the RTF members serve in a voluntary capacity. To ensure that all members can attend the meeting in person, the RTF supports travel costs and participation for some of the members. Additionally, several of the contract analysts have traditionally lived outside of Portland. Part of contract costs for these analysts includes the travel and time for attending the RTF meetings.

The RTF also allocates a small portion of the budget to contract out for meeting minute services, as well as phone lines and web conferencing. Each of these components is important to ensuring that the RTF meetings are publicly available, including to those that are unable to travel or attend a specific meeting.

The 2024 budget assumes that RTF meetings will be a mix of virtual and in person meetings.

### Management and Administration

The final 10 percent of the RTF annual budget goes to support RTF management and administration. This is primarily the support of the RTF Manager, who provides the day-to-day management of the RTF.

1. The Simplified Energy Enthalpy Model (SEEM) is developed and maintained by Ecotope. More information, and the latest version of SEEM, can be found on the RTF’s website: <https://rtf.nwcouncil.org/simplified-energy-enthalpy-model-seem>. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. EnergyPlus is a whole building energy simulation program developed by the Department of Energy. The RTF uses and adapts the building prototype models to better reflect buildings in the Pacific Northwest, based on regional data from NEEA’s Commercial Building Stock Assessment. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)